

R/B  
MSE

# Glenn Hagele

8543 Everglade  
Sacramento CA 95826

Mobile: 916/203-2442  
Fax: 916/650-1241

Monday, April 26, 2010

Michael Myers  
James R. Donahue  
Caulfield, Davies & Donahue, LLP  
Post Office Box 277010  
Sacramento, CA 95827-7010

Attorneys for Hanson  
Hagele v Hanson 06AS00839

re: Hanson Publication Of Privileged Information

Mr. Myers,

Mr. Hanson is publishing at several locations detailed statements regarding the content of the communications between me and my private investigator Patrick Mobley, such communications being subject to work product privilege. In at least one location he describes in detail the content of documents ordered removed from public view by the court – twice. Mr. Hanson’s publication of the contents of the Mobley communications is a violation of privilege and my right to privacy, an underlying cause in the instant action. Furthermore, Mr. Hanson false accusations against me are defamation *per se*.

Some, but not all, of the Internet locations where Mr. Hanson is publishing content of privileged communications and/or defamatory statements regarding the content are:

[http://www.lasikfraud.com/crsqa/brent\\_hanson/06-AS00839/2010-04-April/2010-04-19\\_opposition\\_to\\_motion\\_to\\_disqualify\\_jd\\_declaration\\_meyers.pdf](http://www.lasikfraud.com/crsqa/brent_hanson/06-AS00839/2010-04-April/2010-04-19_opposition_to_motion_to_disqualify_jd_declaration_meyers.pdf)

[http://www.lasikfraud.com/crsqa/brent\\_hanson/06-AS00839/2010-04-April/2010-04-19\\_opposition\\_to\\_motion\\_to\\_disqualify\\_jd.pdf](http://www.lasikfraud.com/crsqa/brent_hanson/06-AS00839/2010-04-April/2010-04-19_opposition_to_motion_to_disqualify_jd.pdf)

[http://www.lasikfraud.com/crsqa/brent\\_hanson/06-AS00839/2010-03-March/david\\_foos\\_criminal\\_attorney.pdf](http://www.lasikfraud.com/crsqa/brent_hanson/06-AS00839/2010-03-March/david_foos_criminal_attorney.pdf)

<http://www.lasik-flap.com/forum/viewtopic.php?f=21&t=2524>

<http://www.lasik-flap.com/forum/viewtopic.php?f=21&t=2928>

<http://www.lasik-flap.com/forum/viewtopic.php?f=21&t=2929>

<http://groups.google.com/group/alt.lasik-eyes/msg/e1df058e130e8128>

<http://groups.google.com/group/alt.lasik-eyes/msg/e40ee47e6ce10f7b>


In the following, Mr. Hanson acknowledges that the Mobley communications are privileged.

<http://groups.google.com/group/alt.lasik-eyes/msg/1824b86071cfc515>

I recognize that a protective order restricting and enjoining Mr. Hanson from such publication has not been granted by the Court, however if I am not notified by day's end that these statements by Mr. Hanson will be immediately and completely removed from public access, then I will seek such an order.

If you have any concerns or questions regarding the subject matter of this correspondence or if the stated understanding of any relevant fact is inconsistent with your understanding of the fact, notify me immediately.

Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter.



Glenn Hagele

:GFH

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Re: Hagele v Hanson, et al  
California Superior Court, Sacramento County, Case No. 06AS00839

I am a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, reside in the County of Sacramento, and am not a party to the within action. My address is 8543 Everglade Dr. Sacramento CA, 95826.

On **April 26, 2010**, I served the **Hanson Publication Of Privileged Information** on the following parties in said action

DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

James Donahue  
Rebecca Weinstein-Hamilton  
Caulfield, Davies & Donahue, LLP  
Telephone: (916) 817-2900

*For Personal Service*  
1 Natomas Street  
Folsom, CA 95630

*For Service by Mail*  
Post Office Box 277010  
Sacramento, CA 95827-7010

*For Service by Fax*  
(916) 817-2644

*For Service by Email*  
jdonahue@cddlaw.com


**Mail** I enclosed a true copy of the above-described document(s) in a sealed envelope addressed to the party. Such envelope with postage fully prepaid thereon was placed in the United States mail at Sacramento, California.

**Email & Mail** I personally observed email distribution of the above-described document(s) by email to the party. On this same date, I caused a true copy of the above-described document(s) to be enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed to the party. Such envelope with postage fully prepaid thereon was placed in the United States mail at Sacramento, California.

**→ Facsimile & Mail** I personally observed facsimile distribution of the above-described document(s). On this same date, I caused a true copy of the above-described document(s) to be enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed to the party. Such envelope with postage fully prepaid thereon was placed in the United States mail at Sacramento, California.

**Personal Service** I delivered by hand to addressee at the address above.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and executed on the date above, at Sacramento, California.

  
Austin Hagele